1 2	REGULAT	ion 5.20	Methodology for Determining Benchmark Ambient Concentration of a Toxic Air Contaminant						
3 4	Air Pollution Control District of Jefferson County Jefferson County, Kentucky								
5	Relates To	o: KRS Cl	napter 77 Air Pollution Control						
6			Chapter 77 Air Pollution Control						
7		essity and Function: KRS 77.180 authorizes the Air Pollution Control Board to adopt and							
8	_	rce all orders, rules, and regulations necessary or proper to accomplish the purposes of KRS							
9	Chapter 77	Chapter 77. This regulation establishes the methodology for determining the benchmark ambient							
10	concentrat	ion for a to	exic air contaminant.						
11	SECTION 1	1 Use of	f Benchmark Ambient Concentration						
12	A benchm	ark ambien	t concentration for a toxic air contaminant developed pursuant to this regulation						
13	shall be u	sed in Reg	gulation 5.21 Environmental Acceptability for Toxic Air Contaminants to						
14	determine	environme	ntal acceptability.						
15	SECTION 2	2 Deter	mination that a Toxic Air Contaminant is a Carcinogen						
16	2.1 At		ntaminant (TAC) shall be determined to be a carcinogen if any of the following						
17	pro	visions is	met:						
18	2.1.1	A carcino	genic unit risk estimate, or alternatively, a concentration representative of a						
19		specified	level of additional lifetime cancer risk, for the TAC is included in any of the						
20		information	on sources identified in sections 3.3.1 to 3.3.3 or derived by using one of the						
21		methodole	ogies listed in section 3.3.5,						
22	2.1.2		is listed as either "known to be a human carcinogen" or "reasonably anticipated						
23			man carcinogen" in the most recent Report on Carcinogens published by the						
24			Toxicology Program pursuant to Section 301(b)(4) of the Public Health Service						
25			Amended by Section 262, PL 95-622, available on the Internet at						
26			o.niehs.nih.gov <u>/-</u> roc", <u>or</u>						
27	2.1.3		is classified as to potential carcinogenic risk to humans as "Group 1: The						
28			sture) is carcinogenic to humans," "Group 2A: The agent (mixture) is probably						
29			nic to humans," or "Group 2B: The agent (mixture) is possibly carcinogenic						
30		_	"by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). The IARC list						
31			le on the Internet at "http://www-cie.iarc.fr/monoeval/crthall.html", or						
32	2.1. <u>43</u>		ict determines that the TAC should be considered to be a carcinogen because						
33	0.1.40.1		efficient, credible information that any of the following criteria is met:						
34	2.1. <u>43</u> .1		n to be a human carcinogen: There is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity						
35			tudies in humans which indicates a causal relationship between exposure to the						
36	2 1 42 2		substance, or mixture and human cancer,						
37	2.1. <u>43</u> .2		nably anticipated to be a human carcinogen:						
38	2.1. <u>43</u> .2.1		here is limited evidence of carcinogenicity from studies in humans, which						
39			dicates that causal interpretation is credible, but that alternative explanations,						
40	2 1 42 2 2		ch as chance, bias, or confounding factors, could not adequately be excluded,						
41	2.1. <u>43</u> .2.2	11	here is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity from studies in experimental						

2.1.<del>43</del>.2.3

### [If adopted, this would be a new regulation] [Changes to Draft #1 are redlined and double underlined]

animals which indicates there is an increased incidence of malignant or a combination of malignant and benign tumors: (1) in multiple species or at multiple tissue sites, or (2) by multiple routes of exposure, or (3) to an unusual degree with regard to incidence, site, or type of tumor, or age at onset, or There is less than sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in humans or laboratory

There is less than sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in humans or laboratory animals, however; the agent, substance, or mixture belongs to a well defined, structurally-related class of substances whose members are listed in the most recent *Report on Carcinogens* published by the National Toxicology Program as either a known to be human carcinogen or reasonably anticipated to be human carcinogen, or there is convincing relevant information that the agent acts through mechanisms indicating it would likely cause cancer in humans.

- 2.2 In making a determination pursuant to section 2.1.3, the following provisions shall apply:
- 2.2.1 Conclusions regarding carcinogenicity in humans or experimental animals are based on scientific judgment, with consideration given to all relevant information. Relevant information includes, but is not limited to, dose response, route of exposure, chemical structure, metabolism, pharmacokinetics, sensitive sub populations, genetic effects, and other data relating to mechanism of action or factors that may be unique to a given substance. This applies to both the "known to be a human carcinogen" and the "reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen" categories, and
- 2.2.2 For an agent to be determined "known to be a human carcinogen," evidence from studies of humans is required. This may include traditional cancer epidemiology studies, data from clinical studies, or data derived from the study of tissues from humans exposed to the substance in question and useful for evaluating whether a relevant cancer mechanism is operating in humans.

#### **SECTION 3** Cancer Risk Benchmark Determination Methodology

3.1 The benchmark ambient concentration for a toxic air contaminant (TAC) determined to be a carcinogen (BAC<sub>C</sub>) shall be calculated as follows:

$$BAC_C = \frac{1 \otimes 10^{-6}}{URE}$$
 [Equation 1]

Where:

- BAC<sub>c</sub> = Benchmark Ambient Concentration for a carcinogen, a concentration representative of an additional lifetime cancer risk of 1 in 1,000,000 ( $1 \otimes 10^{-6}$ ), in units of micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu$ g/m³),
- URE = Unit Risk Estimate Additional lifetime cancer risk occurring in a population in which all individuals are exposed continuously for life (70 years) to a concentration of  $1 \mu g/m^3$  of the chemical in the air they breathe, in units of  $(\mu g/m^3)^{-1}$ . The URE shall be determined according to the methodology in section 3.3, and
- $1 \otimes 10^{-6}$  = An upper bound additional lifetime cancer risk of 1 in 1,000,000.
- 3.2 Alternatively, if in any of the sources of information identified in section 3.3, the concentration of a carcinogen, expressed in  $\mu g/m^3$ , that is representative of an additional lifetime cancer risk of  $1 \otimes 10^{-6}$  is identified instead of the URE, then the BAC<sub>C</sub> is that identified concentration. The URE can be calculated by using Equation 1.

95

96 97

98 99

100

#### [If adopted, this would be a new regulation] [Changes to Draft #1 are redlined and double underlined]

- 84 3.3 The following provisions shall apply to the derivation of a unit risk estimate (URE), or alternatively a BAC<sub>c</sub> directly, for a TAC determined to be a carcinogen: 85 3.3.1 If a URE for a TAC has been developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 86 87 (EPA) and included in the EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), available 88 on the Internet at "http://www.epa.gov/iris/", then that URE shall be used to determine 89 the BAC $_{\rm C}$ . 90 If a URE for a TAC has not been derived pursuant to section 3.3.1 but a URE for that 3.3.2 TAC has been developed by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard 91 92 Assessment, available on the Internet at "http://www.arb.ca.gov/toxics/healthval/
  - 3.3.3 If a URE for a TAC has not been derived pursuant to section 3.3.1 or 3.3.2 but an Initial Risk Screening Level (IRSL) for that TAC has been developed by the Michigan Air Quality Division, available on the Internet at "http://www.deq.state.mi.us/documents/deq-aqd-toxics-itslcas.pdf" sorted by Chemical Abstract Services (CAS) number or "http://www.deq.state.mi.us/documents/deq-aqd-toxics-itslalph.pdf" sorted in alphabetical order, then that IRSL shall be used as the BAC<sub>C</sub>.

contable.pdf", then that URE, found in the column "Inhalation Unit Risk  $(\mu g/m^3)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , shall

- 3.3.4 If a URE for a TAC has not been derived pursuant to section 3.3.1, 3.3.1, or 3.3.3, then
   the BAC<sub>C</sub> shall be the default value 0.0004 μg/m<sup>3</sup>.
- If <u>a TAC has been determined to be a carcinogen, but</u> a URE, or a BAC<sub>c</sub> directly, <u>for a TAC that has been determined to be a carcinogen</u> has not been derived pursuant to section 3.3.1, 3.3.2, or 3.3.3, then the URE <u>may shall</u> be derived using one of the following:
- The methodology in *Air Toxics Risk Assessment Reference Library, Volume 1,*Technical Resource Manual, Chapter 12 Inhalation Toxicity Assessment, U.S.
  Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-453-K-04-001A, April 2004, which is hereby adopted and incorporated by reference,
- The methodology in *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NCEA-F-0644, July 1999, Review Draft, which is hereby adopted and incorporated by reference,
- The methodology in *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/630/R-00/004, September 24, 1986, 51 FR 33992-34003, which is hereby adopted and incorporated by reference,
- The methodology in *R 336.1231 Cancer risk assessment screening methodology* (2)(b) and (3) of the *Michigan Administrative Code*, which is hereby adopted and incorporated by reference, or
- 3.3.<u>54</u>.5 Any alternative cancer risk assessment methodology that can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the District to be more appropriate based on biological grounds and that is supported by the scientific data.
- 123 3.4 An annual average time period shall be used for a BAC<sub>C</sub>.

be used to determine the BAC $_{\rm C}$ .

#### 124 SECTION 4 Chronic Noncancer Risk Benchmark Determination Methodology

- The benchmark ambient concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a toxic air contaminant
- $(BAC_{NC})$ , a concentration that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during
- a lifetime, shall be determined as follows:

128 4.1 If a Reference Concentration (RfC) for a TAC has been developed by the EPA and included in the EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), available on the Internet at 129 "http://www.epa.gov/iris/", then that RfC shall be used as the BAC<sub>NC</sub>: 130 131  $BAC_{NC} = RfC$  [Equation 2] 132 Where: 133  $BAC_{NC}$ = Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a 134 TAC, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ , and = Reference Concentration, in units of µg/m<sup>3</sup>. 135 136 A 24-hour average time period shall be used for a BAC $_{NC}$  determined pursuant to section 4.1. 4.2 137 If a BAC<sub>NC</sub> for a TAC has not been determined pursuant to section 4.1 but a Reference Exposure Level (REL) for that TAC has been developed by the California Office of 138 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, available on the Internet at 139 140 "http://www.arb.ca.gov/toxics/healthval/contable.pdf", then that REL, found in the column "Chronic Inhalation ( $\mu g/m^3$ ), shall be used as the BAC<sub>NC</sub>: 141 142  $BAC_{NC} = REL$ [Equation 3] 143 Where:  $BAC_{NC}$  = Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a 144 TAC, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ , and 145 REL = Reference Exposure Level, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ . 146 147 A 24-hour average time period shall be used for a BAC $_{NC}$  determined pursuant to section 4.2. 4.3 If a BAC<sub>NC</sub> for a TAC has not been determined pursuant to section 4.1 or 4.2 but an Oral 148 149 Reference Dose (RfD) for that TAC has been developed by the EPA and included in the 150 EPA's IRIS, available on the Internet at "http://www.epa.gov/iris/", and data are not 151 available to indicate that oral-route to inhalation-route extrapolation is inappropriate, then 152 that RfD shall be used to calculate the BAC<sub>NC</sub> as follows: 153  $BAC_{NC} = Oral \ RfD \otimes \frac{70 \ kg}{20 \ \frac{m^3}{dgy}}$  [Equation 4] 154 Where: = Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a 155 156 TAC, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ , = Reference Exposure Level, in units of µg/kg-day, 157 RfD = The average body weight of a human, and 158 70 kg159  $20 \text{ m}^3/\text{day} = \text{The average daily inhalation rate for a human.}$ 

A 24-hour average time period shall be used for a  $BAC_{NC}$  determined pursuant to section 4.3.

4.4 If a BAC<sub>NC</sub> for a TAC has not been determined pursuant to section 4.1 to 4.3 but an Initial
 Threshold Screening Level (ITSL) for that TAC has been developed by the Michigan Air

Quality Division, available on the Internet at "http://www.deq.state.mi.us/documents/deq-aqd-toxics-itslcas.pdf" sorted by Chemical Abstract Services (CAS) number or "http://www.deq.state.mi.us/documents/deq-aqd-toxics-itslalph.pdf" sorted in alphabetical order, then that ITSL shall be used as the  $BAC_{NC}$ :

$$BAC_{NC} = ITSL$$
 [Equation 5]

Where:

 $BAC_{NC}$  = Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a

TAC, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ , and

171 ITSL = Initial Threshold Screening Level, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ .

The average time period as listed for a specific ITSL shall be used for a  $BAC_{NC}$  determined pursuant to section 4.4.

4.5 If a BAC<sub>NC</sub> for a TAC has not been determined pursuant to section 4.1 to 4.4 but an occupational exposure level (OEL) exists for that TAC, then the OEL may be used to calculate the BAC<sub>NC</sub> as follows:

$$BAC_{NC} = \frac{OEL}{100}$$
 [Equation 6]

Where:

 $BAC_{NC}$  = Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a

TAC, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ ,

OEL = Occupational Exposure Level, that, for the TAC, is the lowest value of either the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)recommended exposure level listed in current edition of the NIOSH pocket guide to chemical hazards or the time-weighted average or ceiling Threshold Limit Value (TLV) listed in the current edition of the American Conference of Governmental and Industrial Hygienists

Threshold Limit Value (TLV) booklet, in units of µg/m³, and

100 = A composite safety factor to account for differences in susceptibility

between the healthy, adult worker population compared to the general population that is more diverse and may contain individuals or subpopulations more sensitive to the effects of the toxic air pollutant (safety factor of 10). Additionally, the composite safety factor accounts for the difference in exposure duration (in hours per week and years working versus a lifetime) for the worker population compared to the general population:

$$\frac{1}{10} \otimes \frac{40 \text{ hours/week}}{168 \text{ hours/week}} \otimes \frac{30 \text{ years}}{70 \text{ years}} \approx \frac{1}{100}.$$

An 8-hour average time period shall be used for a  $BAC_{NC}$  determined pursuant to section 4.5 based upon a time-weighted OEL and a 1-hour average time period shall be used for a

205

209

210

211

212213

214

215

216

217218

219

220221

222

223

224

225

229

230

## [If adopted, this would be a new regulation] [Changes to Draft #1 are redlined and double underlined]

BAC<sub>NC</sub> determined pursuant to section 4.5 based upon a ceiling OEL.

200 4.6 If a BAC $_{NC}$  for a TAC has not been determined pursuant to section 4.1 to 4.5 but a 7-day, 201 inhalation, no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) or lowest observable adverse effect level (LOAEL) is available for that TAC, then the NOAEL or LOAEL may be used to 203 calculate the BAC $_{NC}$  as follows:

$$BAC_{NC} = \frac{NOAEL}{35 \otimes 100} \otimes \frac{Hr \ Exposed / Day}{24 \ Hr / Day}$$
 [Equation 7]

$$BAC_{NC} = \frac{LOAEL}{35 \otimes 100 \otimes UF} \otimes \frac{Hr \ Exposed / Day}{24 \ Hr / Day}$$
 [Equation 8]

206 Where:
207 BAC<sub>NC</sub> = Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a TAC, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ ,

NOAEL = No observed adverse effect level (inhalation study), in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ ,

LOAEL = Lowest observed adverse effect level (inhalation study), in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ ,

= A safety factor to account for using a NOAEL or LOAEL from a 7-day exposure period to estimate a NOAEL or LOAEL for a lifetime study,

A standard composite safety factor comprised of a safety factor of 10 to account for differences between animals and humans and a safety factor of 10 to account for the differences between individuals in the human

population, and

UF = Uncertainty Factor, a value from 1 to 10, applicable when using a LOAEL (lowest effect) instead of a NOAEL (no effect), determined by the District on a case-by-case basis, considering the type and severity of effect. For example, a value of 1 would be used when the lowest effect was a skin rash; a value of 10 would be used when the lowest effect was death.

If approved by the District, the  $BAC_{NC}$  may be determined on a case-by-case basis using a NOAEL or LOAEL from repeated dose studies other than 7-day studies.

An annual average time period shall be used for a  $BAC_{NC}$  determined pursuant to section 4.6.

4.7 If a BAC $_{NC}$  for a TAC has not been determined pursuant to section 4.1 to 4.6 but a 7-day, oral NOAEL or oral LOAEL is available for that TAC, then the oral NOAEL or oral LOAEL may be used to calculate the BAC $_{NC}$  as follows:

$$BAC_{NC} = \frac{Oral\ NOAEL}{35 \otimes 100} \otimes \frac{W_A}{I_A} \otimes \frac{b}{a}$$
 [Equation 9]

$$BAC_{NC} = \frac{Oral\ LOAEL}{35 \otimes 100 \otimes UF} \otimes \frac{W_A}{I_A} \otimes \frac{b}{a}$$
 [Equation 10]

231		Where:		
232		$BAC_{NC}$	=	Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a
233		NC		TAC, in units of $\mu g/m^3$ ,
234		NOAEL	=	No observed adverse effect level (oral study), in units of µg/kg-day,
235		LOAEL	=	Lowest observed adverse effect level (oral study), in units of µg/kg-day,
236		35	=	A safety factor to account for using a NOAEL or LOAEL from a 7-day
237				exposure period to estimate a NOAEL or LOAEL for a lifetime study,
238		100	=	A standard composite safety factor comprised of a safety factor of 10 to
239		100		account for differences between animals and humans and a safety factor
240				of 10 to account for the differences between individuals in the human
241				population,
242		UF	=	Uncertainty Factor, a value from 1 to 10, applicable when using a
243		O1		LOAEL (lowest effect) instead of a NOAEL (no effect), determined by
244				the District on a case-by-case basis, considering the type and severity of
245				effect. For example, a value of 1 would be used when the lowest effect
246				was a skin rash; a value of 10 would be used when the lowest effect was
247				death,
248		$W_{A}$	=	Body weight of experimental animal in kilograms (kg),
249		$I_{A}$	=	Daily inhalation rate of experimental animal in m³/day,
250		b	=	Absorption efficiency (percent absorbed) by the oral route of exposure,
251		Ü		and
252		a	=	Absorption efficiency (percent absorbed) by the inhalation route of
253		a a		exposure.
200				on possion
254		If approved b	y the	District, the $BAC_{NC}$ may be determined on a case-by-case basis using an
255				ral LOAEL from repeated dose studies other than 7-day studies.
256		An annual ave	erage	e time period shall be used for a $BAC_{NC}$ determined pursuant to section 4.7.
257	4.8			TAC has not been determined pursuant to section 4.1 to 4.7 but an
258			50	rom a study that is 4 or more hours in duration is available for that TAC,
259		then the $LC_{50}$	may	be used to calculate the $BAC_{NC}$ as follows:
260			_	$LC_{50}$
			1	$BAC_{NC} = \frac{LC_{50}}{500 \otimes 100}$ [Equation 11].
061		<b>33</b> 71		
261		Where:		
262		$BAC_{NC}$	=	Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a
263		I.C		TAC, in units of $\mu g/m^3$ ,
264		$LC_{50}$	=	Concentration of material used in an inhalation study that causes death of
265				50% of the group of test animals when administered as a single dose in
266		500		a specific time period, in units of µg/m³,
267		500	=	A factor to account for using an $LC_{50}$ to estimate a no observed adverse
268		100		effect level (NOAEL) for a lifetime study, and
269		100	=	A standard composite safety factor comprised of a safety factor of 10 to

302

303304

305

500

100

#### [If adopted, this would be a new regulation] [Changes to Draft #1 are redlined and double underlined]

270 account for differences between animals and humans and a safety factor of 10 to account for the differences between individuals in the human 271 272 population. An annual average time period shall be used for a BAC<sub>NC</sub> determined pursuant to section 4.8. 273 4.9 If a BAC $_{\rm NC}$  for a TAC has not been determined pursuant to section 4.1 to 4.8 but an LC $_{\rm 50}$ 274 275 from a 1-hour inhalation study is available for that TAC, then the 1-hour LC<sub>50</sub> may be used 276 to calculate the BAC<sub>NC</sub> as follows: 277  $BAC_{NC} = \frac{(1-Hr) \ LC_{50}}{500 \ \otimes \ 100 \ \otimes \ 40}$ [Equation 12]. 278 Where: 279 = Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a 280 TAC, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ , 281  $LC_{50}$ = Concentration of material used in an inhalation study that causes death of 282 50% of the group of test animals when administered as a single dose in 283 a specific time period, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ , 284 500 = A factor to account for using an  $LC_{50}$  to estimate a no observed adverse 285 effect level (NOAEL) for a lifetime study, = A standard composite safety factor comprised of a safety factor of 10 to 286 100 287 account for differences between animals and humans and a safety factor of 10 to account for the differences between individuals in the human 288 289 population, and 40 290 = A safety factor to account for the uncertainty of using a one-hour 291 inhalation LC<sub>50</sub> compared to an exposure duration of four hours or more. 292 An annual average time period shall be used for a BAC $_{NC}$  determined pursuant to section 4.9. If a BAC<sub>NC</sub> for a TAC has not been determined pursuant to section 4.1 to 4.9 but an animal 293 4.10 294 oral  $LD_{50}$  is available for that TAC, then the  $LD_{50}$  may be used to calculate the  $BAC_{NC}$  as 295 follows: 296  $BAC_{NC} = \frac{LD_{50} \ (mg/kg)}{500 \ \otimes \ 100 \ \otimes \ 40 \ \otimes \ 0.167} \otimes \frac{W_A}{I_A}$  [Equation 13]. 297 Where: = Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a 298 299 TAC, in units of  $\mu g/m^3$ , = Amount of material administered in a single dose by a route other than 300  $LD_{50}$ 

effect level (NOAEL) for a lifetime study,

animals, in units of µg/kg,

inhalation, e.g., oral, that causes death of 50% of the group of test

= A factor to account for using an LC<sub>50</sub> to estimate a no observed adverse

= A standard composite safety factor comprised of a safety factor of 10 to

307		account for differences between animals and humans and a safety factor of 10 to account for the differences between individuals in the human
308		population,
309		40 = A safety factor to account for the uncertainty of estimating an $LC_{50}$ from
310		an $LD_{50}$ ,
311		0.167 = A factor to convert the daily dose to a 4-hour time frame $(4 \div 24 = 0.167)$ ,
312		W <sub>A</sub> = Body weight of experimental animal in kilograms (kg), and
313		$I_A$ = Daily inhalation rate of experimental animal in m <sup>3</sup> /day.
314		An annual average time period shall be used for a BAC <sub>NC</sub> determined pursuant to
315		section 4.10.
316 317	4.11	If a BAC $_{NC}$ for a TAC has not been determined pursuant to section 4.1 to 4.10, then the BAC $_{NC}$ shall be the default value:
318		$BAC_{NC} = 0.04  \mu g/m^3$ [Equation 14].
319		Where:
320		$BAC_{NC}$ = Benchmark Ambient Concentration for the noncarcinogenic effects of a
321		TAC, in units of $\mu g/m^3$ .
322		An annual average time period shall be used for a BAC <sub>NC</sub> determined pursuant to
323		section 4.11.
324	4.12	Notwithstanding the methodologies in sections 4.3, 4.7, and 4.10, a BAC <sub>NC</sub> shall not be
324 325	4.12	Notwithstanding the methodologies in sections 4.3, 4.7, and 4.10, a BAC <sub>NC</sub> shall not be derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless
	4.12	
325	4.12	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless
325 326	<u>4.12</u> <u>4.12.1</u>	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:
325 326 327		derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:
325 326 327 328		derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers).
325 326 327 328 329	4.12.1	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers).  When a first-pass effect by the respiratory tract is expected.
325 326 327 328 329 330	4.12.1 4.12.2	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers), When a first-pass effect by the respiratory tract is expected, When a first-pass effect by the liver is expected,
325 326 327 328 329 330 331	4.12.1 4.12.2 4.12.3	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers).  When a first-pass effect by the respiratory tract is expected.  When a first-pass effect by the liver is expected.  When a respiratory tract effect is established, but dosimetry comparison cannot be clearly established between the two routes.
325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332	4.12.1 4.12.2 4.12.3	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers).  When a first-pass effect by the respiratory tract is expected.  When a first-pass effect by the liver is expected.  When a respiratory tract effect is established, but dosimetry comparison cannot be clearly established between the two routes.
325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333	4.12.1 4.12.2 4.12.3 4.12.4	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers). When a first-pass effect by the respiratory tract is expected. When a first-pass effect by the liver is expected. When a respiratory tract effect is established, but dosimetry comparison cannot be clearly established between the two routes. When the respiratory tract is not adequately studied in the oral studies, and
325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334	4.12.1 4.12.2 4.12.3 4.12.4	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers). When a first-pass effect by the respiratory tract is expected. When a first-pass effect by the liver is expected. When a respiratory tract effect is established, but dosimetry comparison cannot be clearly established between the two routes. When the respiratory tract is not adequately studied in the oral studies, and
325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335	4.12.1 4.12.2 4.12.3 4.12.4	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers).  When a first-pass effect by the respiratory tract is expected.  When a first-pass effect by the liver is expected.  When a respiratory tract effect is established, but dosimetry comparison cannot be clearly established between the two routes.  When the respiratory tract is not adequately studied in the oral studies, and when short-term inhalation studies, dermal irritation, in vitro studies, or characteristics
325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336	4.12.1 4.12.2 4.12.3 4.12.4	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers).  When a first-pass effect by the respiratory tract is expected,  When a first-pass effect by the liver is expected,  When a respiratory tract effect is established, but dosimetry comparison cannot be clearly established between the two routes.  When the respiratory tract is not adequately studied in the oral studies, and  When short-term inhalation studies, dermal irritation, in vitro studies, or characteristics of the chemical indicate potential for portal-of-entry effects at the respiratory tract, but
325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337	4.12.1 4.12.2 4.12.3 4.12.4	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers), When a first-pass effect by the respiratory tract is expected, When a first-pass effect by the liver is expected, When a respiratory tract effect is established, but dosimetry comparison cannot be clearly established between the two routes, When the respiratory tract is not adequately studied in the oral studies, and When short-term inhalation studies, dermal irritation, in vitro studies, or characteristics of the chemical indicate potential for portal-of-entry effects at the respiratory tract, but studies themselves are not adequate for the development of a benchmark ambient
325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337	4.12.1 4.12.2 4.12.3 4.12.4	derived from one of these methodologies, which consider route-to-route extrapolation, unless the District has affirmatively determined that the use of oral toxicity data is appropriate. The use of oral toxicity data is not appropriate in the following cases:  When groups of chemicals have different toxicity by the two different routes (e.g., metals, irritants, and sensitizers), When a first-pass effect by the respiratory tract is expected, When a first-pass effect by the liver is expected, When a respiratory tract effect is established, but dosimetry comparison cannot be clearly established between the two routes, When the respiratory tract is not adequately studied in the oral studies, and When short-term inhalation studies, dermal irritation, in vitro studies, or characteristics of the chemical indicate potential for portal-of-entry effects at the respiratory tract, but studies themselves are not adequate for the development of a benchmark ambient

#### **Consideration of Acute Noncancer Effects**

If the District determines believes that compliance with the BAC<sub>NC</sub> over the applicable averaging 340 time specified in Section 4 does not provide adequate protection from the acute effects of a TAC, 341 then the District may establish a different <u>acute benchmark ambient concentration (BAC $_{NC\underline{A}}$ )</u> and 342

343	shorter averaging time that would provide adequate protection.					
344	SECTION 6 Available Documents					
345	The District will maintain on its web page, "http://www.apcd.org", links to the documents identified					
346	as available on the Internet and maintain at its office a copy of all documents identified in this					
347	regulation. In addition, the District will maintain a current list of the benchmark ambient					
348	concentrations that have been developed pursuant to this regulation and maintain this current list on					
349	its web page.					
350	Adopted v1/ effective					